

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,880	01/15/2002	Jean-Claude Bystryn	392/25799-DB/RDK	5017	
7590 07/12/2006			EXAMINER		
Robert D. Katz			YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H		
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1643		
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/046,880	BYSTRYN, JEAN-CLAUDE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher H. Yaen	1643		

		7.0.0				
	Christopher H. Yaen	1643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	acalica			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			ccause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		50.0,,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	noted alaims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, -	ecteu ciaims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	DTOL 224)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant Amendment ((PTOL-324).			
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).			-			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) will will be	l be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)				
	Christopher H. YAEN	Christopher Yaen				
	CHRISTOPHER H. YAEN PRIMARY EXAMINER	Art Unit 1643				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant has amended the claims to include new limitations that have not been previously considered or examined. Specifically applicant has include new components in the claimed vaccine composition (i.e. adjuvants, and diluents) which were not presented prior to making the instant case final..

Christopher H. Yaen PRIMARY EXAMINER